Re: Necessary Reforms to Bioenergy Provisions of Fit for 55 Plan

To: Council of Member States and the European Parliament

Dear Ambassadors and Members of the European Parliament,

The undersigned scientists urge Member States and Members of the European Parliament to amend the bioenergy provisions of the proposed Fit for 55 legislation to avoid adverse effects on climate and biodiversity. Despite many admirable efforts to shift Europe away from fossil fuels, the bioenergy provisions of the Fit for 55 plan, as presently structured, would undermine increased carbon storage and biodiversity in Europe. They would also encourage global deforestation by requiring that more land in the tropics be used to meet Europe's demand for agricultural products and wood. The proposed legislation will cause these effects by encouraging much greater use of bioenergy, regardless of its effects on global land use and carbon stored in existing forests. Fortunately, reasonable amendments can avoid these unwanted effects.

Global expansion of agriculture and forest harvests, spurred by rising populations and incomes, contributes greatly to deforestation and other losses of native habitats. This expansion, which recent evidence suggests is occurring at record rates, increases carbon in the atmosphere and serves as a main driver of global biodiversity loss.

Europe has an essential role to play in addressing these challenges by reducing the land and carbon footprint used to supply itself with food, wood, and fuel. Many regions of the world have growing demand for food and wood as their populations and incomes expand, creating additional pressures to harvest more wood and convert forests and other habitats to farmland. In past centuries, Europe too vastly expanded its agricultural area and converted most of its forests and habitats. But Europe today has the potential to reduce the land area it appropriates due to a likely stable or declining population, the potential for crop yield gains, and the potential for reducing its high levels of meat and dairy consumption.

By reducing the land area needed to support its consumption, Europe can both increase carbon storage and habitat in Europe and reduce its contribution to global deforestation caused through its outsourcing of land use. As numerous studies have shown, forest recovery in Europe has come in part at the expense of using millions of hectares of land abroad on a net basis to meet Europe's demand for agricultural products.

Unfortunately, the bioenergy provisions of the Fit for 55 plan, by treating biomass as "carbon neutral," encourage Europe not just to burn waste biomass but to harvest and burn more wood from forests and to devote millions of hectares of agricultural land to bioenergy. Doing so would increase Europe's global carbon footprint substantially. Although burning biomass releases even more carbon than burning fossil fuels, the greenhouse gas rules in these proposed laws ignore this loss of carbon. As a result, those who burn biomass are credited with reducing carbon emissions regardless of these emissions, of reduced carbon storage from increased wood harvest, and of the carbon lost in native habitats as farmland expands globally to replace foregone food production in Europe. As <u>hundreds of scientists</u> have previously cautioned the European Parliament, this approach leads to additional wood harvest for bioenergy that is likely to increase global warming for decades to centuries even if forests are harvested "sustainably" and allowed to grow back.

As a result, European Commission modeling of the Fit for 55 plan projects a four-fold increase in Europe's import of wood for bioenergy by 2050. It also projects that energy crops by 2050 will occupy 22 million hectares in Europe, roughly a fifth of Europe's cropland, competing with land for Europe's food production and restoration of nature. Dedicating one fifth of cropland to energy crops globally would require additional expansion of cropland into forests and other habitats by an area the size of India. European biodiversity would also decline due to the projected loss of roughly half of Europe's biologically diverse, seminatural grasslands.

The present deepening food crisis, spurred by the war in Ukraine, demonstrates the dangerous consequences of this approach to bioenergy. While global shortages of grain and vegetable oil are sending prices soaring, Europe could more than replace Ukrainian vegetable oil exports by cutting its biodiesel consumption; in addition, Europe and the U.S. could replace Ukraine's grain exports by cutting grain-based ethanol in half. Although energy crops might use less fertilizer than food crops, producing them still requires productive land that could otherwise be used to produce food or to store carbon in native vegetation.

The European Commission has expressed the idea that new Land use, land-use change, and forestry (LULUCF) requirements counteract these perverse incentives by encouraging Member States to preserve carbon in their own forests, but that is unlikely to be effective while current bioenergy rules remain. Regardless of country incentives from LULUCF rules, bioenergy will remain effectively seen as carbon neutral for power plants, factories, the road transport industry, airlines, shipping companies, and other energy users because of the way their emissions are counted. Energy users will therefore continue to have strong incentives to burn fuels from wood or energy crops grown on cropland regardless of foregone food supply and carbon storage. If LULUCF rules cause Member States to restrict the harvest of wood domestically, bioenergy rules will encourage even more offshoring of Europe's demand for wood and agricultural products, further increasing global deforestation.

Amendments should correct the flawed climate accounting surrounding biomass in Fit for 55 by recognizing the carbon costs of devoting land and wood to bioenergy. As a major step forward, the Parliament should adopt amendments to eliminate the zero-carbon rating for burning primary forest biomass, to include energy crops in the cap on the use of food- and feed-based biofuels, and to tighten these caps.

The world's present food crisis is a reminder that land is precious and in increasingly short supply. Europe should not aim to divert vast parts of the world's cropland to energy crops, to harvest and burn more of the world's forests, nor undermine the goals of storing more carbon and improving biodiversity both within Europe and globally.

Sincerely,

Alphabetical order

Massimo Blonda

Istituto di Ricerca Sulle Acque Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche (CNR-IRSA) Bari, Italy

Michele Carducci

Full Professor of Comparative Constitutional Law - CEDEUAM University of Salento Lecce, Italy

Dragan Petrov Chobanov

Professor Institute of Biodiversity and Ecosystem Research Bulgarian Academy of Sciences Sofia, Bulgaria

Kevin Cianfaglione

Université de Lorraine, Campus Bridoux Metz, France

Margherita Ciervo

Professor Dipartimento di Economia, Management e Territorio Università degli Studi di Foggia Foggia, Italy

Denis Couvet

Professor, Natural History Museum President Fondation pour la Recherche sur la Biodiversité Member Académie d'Agriculture de France Paris, France

Wolfgang Cramer

Professor, IMBE, CNRS, Aix Marseille Université, Member Académie d'Agriculture de France Aix-en-Provence, France

Gerd Esser

University Professor for Systems Ecology and Modeling Institute for Plant Ecology Justus-Liebig-University Giessen, Germany

Alfredo Di Filippo

Associate Professor in Plant Biology and Ecology

National Geographic Explorer Università della Tuscia Viterbo, Italy

Karlheinz Erb

Associate Professor University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences Vienna, Austria

Simone Gingrich

Researcher & Lecturer University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences Vienna, Austria

Helmut Haberl

University Professor University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences Vienna, Austria

Bjart Holtsmark

Senior Researcher (Emeritus) Statistics Norway Oslo, Norway

Thomas Kastner

Senior Scientist Senckenberg Biodiversity and Climate Research Centre, Frankfurt am Main, Germany

Vassiliki Kati

Professor of Biodiversity Conservation Head of Biodiversity Conservation Lab Dept. of Biological Applications and Technology, University of Ioannina Ioannina, Greece

Markus Kröger

Associate Professor and Academy of Finland Research Fellow Global Development Studies, Faculty of Social Sciences, University of Helsinki Helsinki, Finland **Tobias Kuemmerle** Professor Humboldt-University Berlin, Berlin, Germany

Luc Lens

Senior Full Professor Department of Biology, Ghent University Ghent, Belgium **Wolfgang Lucht** Professor Potsdam Institute for Climate Impact Research and Humboldt University Berlin, Member of the German Government's Council on the Environment SRU

Patrick Meyfroidt

F.R.S.-FNRS Université Catholique de Louvain (UCL) Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium

Christina Moberg

President, European Academies' Science Advisory Council Emeritus Professor KTH Royal Institute of Technology Stockholm, Sweden

Mikko Mönkkönen

Professor in Applied Ecology, Dean of the Faculty Faculty of Mathematics and Sciences University of Jyväskylä Finland

Michael Norton

Professor Environmental Program Chair European Academies Science Advisory Panel University of Tokyo (Retired)

José M. Rey Benayas

Full Professor Grupo "Ecología y Restauración Forestal" (FORECO), Dpto. de Ciencias de la Vida - Unidad Docente de Ecología Universidad de Alcalá Madrid, Spain

Maria Rosa Paiva

Professor Fellow A.v. Humboldt Foundation, DE, NOVA School of Science and Technology Caparica, Portugal

Tobias Plieninger

Professor of Social-Ecological Interactions in Agricultural Systems Dept. of Agricultural Economics and Rural Development, University of Göttingen, Faculty of Organic Agricultural Sciences, University of Kassel Germany **Paolo Pupillo** Emeritus Professor of Plant Physiology Department of Pharmacy and Biotechnology University of Bologna Bologna, Italy

Bartolomeo Schirone

Dipartimento di Scienze Agrarie e Forestali Università della Tuscia Viterbo, Italy

Timothy Searchinger

School of Public & International Affairs Princeton University, U.S.A.

Nuria Selva

Associate Professor Institute of Nature Conservation Polish Academy of Sciences Kraków, Poland

Josef Settele

Dept. of Conservation Biology & Social-Ecological Systems Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research – UFZ Co-chair IPBES Global Assessment; German Government Advisory Council on the Environment (SRU) Halle, Germany

David Storch

Faculty of Science Department of Ecology Center for Theoretical Study Charles University & Czech Academy of Sciences Prague, Czech Republic

Marek Svitok

Faculty of Ecology and Environmental Sciences Dept. Biology and General Ecology Technical University in Zvolen Zvolen, Slovakia

Miroslav Svoboda

Faculty of Forestry and Wood Sciences Czech University of Life Sciences Prague, Czech Republic

Peter Verburg Professor Environmental Geography Institute for Environmental Studies VU University Amsterdam The Netherlands

Louise E.M. Vet Member of Royal Netherlands Academy of Arts and Sciences

For additional information, please contact:

Wolfgang Cramer (<u>wolfgang.cramer@imbe.fr</u>) Thomas Kastner (<u>thomas.kastner@senckenberg.de</u>) Wolfgang Lucht (<u>wolfgang.lucht@pik-potsdam.de</u>) Stefan Wirsenius (<u>stefan.wirsenius@chalmers.se</u>) Louise Vet (<u>l.vet@nioo.knaw.nl</u>)

Former Director Netherlands Institute of Ecology Professor Emeritus, Wageningen University The Netherlands Lars Walløe Chair EASAC Environment Steering Panel Professor Emeritus, University of Oslo Oslo, Norway

Stefan Wirsenius

Associate Professor Chalmers University of Technology Gothenburg, Sweden